



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,117	05/14/2001	Steven T. Kanefsky	108874	9063
32943	7590	03/02/2006	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			TANG, KAREN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/855,117	KANEFSKY, STEVEN T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karen C. Tang	2151	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2151

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/03/06 has been entered.
- Claims 1,2, 4-17 are presented for further examination.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sohn et al hereinafter Sohn (US. 2002/0091762).

1. Referring to Claim 1, Sohn disclosed providing information on a wireless device comprising: receiving, at a remote server, one or more search parameters from the wireless device, the one or more parameters different from a menu navigation command of the wireless device (information search online by devices, refer to 0009, 0011 and 0023, 0043-0044);

Art Unit: 2151

identifying a folder based on the one or more search parameters, wherein the identified folder is part of a preexisting deck maintained by said remote server (requested page/URL, 0038, 0044); and

communicating the identified folder to said wireless device for performing a menu navigation to the identified folder (GUI 200, refer to 0036, 0038, 0043).

2. Referring to Claims 2 and 8, Sohn disclosed further comprising: activating a script directed to one or more queries the script associated with a navigation command, each of the one or more queries associated with the one or more search parameters (refer to 0038, 0043).

3. Referring to Claim 11, Sohn disclosed wherein the wireless device display the at least one identified folder and/or the at least one identified item (refer to 0038, 0044).

4. Referring to Claim 5, Sohn disclosed comprising receiving, at a remote server, one or more search parameters from the wireless device (refer to 0023, 0038, 0043);

identifying a selection of a plurality of folders and/or a plurality of items based on the one or more search parameters (refer to 0039) each of the plurality of folders and items being part of a preexisting deck used by the wireless device (refer to 0034-0039); and providing a second menu (content after the user clicked the link, refer to 0040, 0043) to the wireless device based on the identified selection operation

5. Referring to Claims 6 and 13, Sohn disclosed wherein the second menu (content, refer to 0039-0040) is a flat menu (pop-up dialog box, refer to 0040) that includes two or more identified items (list of archived content available, 0039-0040).

6. Referring to Claims 7 and 15, Sohn disclosed wherein the second menu includes at least one text message that contains at least one of the one or more search parameters (refer to 0042).

7. Referring to Claims 9 and 14, Sohn disclosed wherein the second menu is a hierarchical menu that includes two or more identified folders (refer to 0042 and 0039).

8. Referring to Claim 10, Sohn disclosed a device for searching for a folder or item in a menu of a wireless device, comprising:

an interface at a remote server that receives one or more search parameters from the wireless device (it is inherent that the server comprises a interface to receive parameter, refer to 0038), the one or more parameters different from a menu navigation command of the wireless device;

a search device that performs a search operation based on the one or more search parameter, the search operation identifying at least one folder and/or at least one item, the at least one folder and at least one item each being part of a preexisting deck maintained by said remote server and communicated to the wireless device (0038) and

a managing device that provides information to the wireless device based on the search operation (refer to 0038);

Art Unit: 2151

wherein one of the at least one identified folder and/or the at least one identified item or a portion of a second menu is provided for display on the wireless device, and wherein the second menu is formed based on the provided information (links, icons, refer to 0038 and 0040).

9. Referring to Claim 12, Sohn disclosed wherein the wireless device displays a portion of the second menu (refer to 0040, link to second menu, just a portion of second menu)

10. Referring to Claim 16, Sohn disclosed a script processor that provided at least one search parameter in response to a script directed to one or more query operations (refer to 0043-0044).

11. Referring to Claim 17, Sohn disclosed wherein the script processor accessing information relating to an external network in response to the script (refer to 0043).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sohn et al hereinafter Sohn (US. 2002/0091762) in view of Roundtree et al hereinafter LaDue (U.S 6185198)

Art Unit: 2151

1. Referring to Claim 4, Sohn disclosed wherein the script further including one or more operations (refer to 0008 and 0035).

Sohn did not expressly indicate the operation includes performs one of facilitating a purchase facilitating a reservation based on the query and placing a phone call.

LaDue indicated the real time billing and debit transaction (purchase) (refer to Col 12, Lines 55-67) by dialing or messaging/paging (refer to Col 13, lines 60-67)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine both Sohn and LaDue inventions since Sohn discloses the client system/wireless phone has the capability to shop online (refer to 0008).

The suggestion/motivation would have been that because it is very efficient for user consists capability to pay billing and purchasing items such as games or ring tone just by pressing the bottom or making phone call, furthermore, by placing the call, it prevent the online security fault and the user does not have to enter the information via webpage to decrease the identify theft.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

*Conclusion*

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen C. Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-3116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7 - 3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571)272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KT  
Karen Tang  
02/23/06

  
ZARNI MAUNG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER